UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
X
IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT

**JURY TRIAL IS DEMANDED** 

Of

Case No. <u>1:17-cv-168 (MAD/CFH)</u>

TAPPAN ZEE CONSTRUCTORS, LLC, as owner of 2007 Lobell Boat, Serial Number L0A11504J607, FOR EXONERATION FROM OR LIMITATION OF LIABILITY,

CLAIMANT'S ANSWER TO
COMPLAINT FOR EXONERATION
OR LIMITATION

Petitioner.	
	X

Claimant, Timothy Marion through his attorney, Steven M. Melley, PLLC, as and for his Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Petition herein does state:

FIRST: Denies the allegations in paragraphs numbered "7", "8" "9" "14" "15" and "16" of the Petition/Complaint for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability, dated February 14, 2017 and filed with this Court herein;

SECOND: Denies information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered, "1", "2", "10", "11", "12", and "13" of the Petition/Complaint for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability, dated February 14, 2017 and filed with this Court herein;

THIRD: Admits, as alleged in paragraph numbered "3" of the Petition/Complaint for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability, dated and filed with this Court on February 14, 2017, that Claimant, Timothy Marion did name Petitioner as one of five defendants in the verified complaint, dated August 15, 2016, filed and commenced in the Supreme Court of the State of New York venued in Ulster County under Index No. 2180/2016 on August 15, 2016 which complaint is annexed as Exhibit

**B** of the "Complaint for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability" herein filed by and for Petitioner, Tappan Zee Constructors, LLC.

FOURTH: Admits the allegations set forth in paragraph numbered "6" of the Complaint and respectfully refer all matters of law to this Court.

FIFTH: Admits, as alleged in paragraph numbered "4" of the Petition/Complaint for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability, dated and filed with this Court on February 14, 2017, that Claimant, Timothy Marion, alleges, among other allegations made in his said verified complaint in the pending state court action, that on September 17, 2013, while aboard a vessel known as "WB1", bearing hull no. L0A11504J607, he sustained bodily injuries as alleged therein.

SIXTH: Admits, as alleged in paragraph numbered "5" of the Petition/Complaint for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability, dated and filed with this Court on February 14, 2017, that Claimant, Timothy Marion, alleges, among other allegations, that Petitioner herein, Tappan Zee Constructors, LLC., is liable for the injuries and damages he sustained on September 17, 2013 while aboard a certain vessel in the navigable waters of the Hudson River, owned by Petitioner herein, Tappan Zee Constructors, LLC.

## FIRST COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SEVENTH: This action/proceeding is not timely brought or filed by Petitioner.

## SECOND COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

EIGHTH: Petitioner, its officers, owners and/or managers had both privity and knowledge of their negligence and of their negligent entrustment of the subject vessel in an unsafe and unseaworthy condition and both actual and constructive notice and knowledge of such unsafe and unseaworthy conditions.

THIRD COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

NINTH: The Court herein lacks subject matter jurisdiction of this action/proceeding.

FOURTH COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TENTH: The Petition/Complaint herein fails to state a cognizable claim cause of action upon

which relief may be granted under Supplemental Rule F, under 46 U.S.C. ss. 30501, et seq., under

General Maritime Law or otherwise.

FIFTH COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

ELEVENTH: The Claimant herein has filed and/or will file certain stipulations by which the

injunction of the Claimant must be vacated and the stay of the pending state court action must be lifted.

SIXTH COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

TWELFTH: The Home Port Doctrine bars this action and divests this Court of its jurisdiction.

SEVENTH COMPLETE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

THIRTEENTH: This Court's injunction and/or stay of the pending action in state court is overly

broad, does not require sufficient security, i.e. an amount equal to the value of the subject vessel and its

pending freight, and otherwise fails to invoke the flotilla doctrine to insure and adequate security for the

claims made by the single claimant herein.

WHEREFORE, this action/proceeding and the Petition/Complaint for Exoneration and

Limitation herein must be dismissed, or the injunction of the Claimant must be vacated and the stay of

the pending state court action be lifted allowing Claimant to proceed with the state court action,

together with such other, just and proper relief as is warranted herein.

Dated: April 25, 2017

Rhinebeck, New York

3

By:

STEVEN M. MELLEY, PLLC

STEVEN M. MELLEY, (Bar No. 103744)

Attorney for Claimant

24 Closs Drive

Rhinebeck, NY 12572

(845) 876-4057

To: Brendan E. McKeon, Esq.
Flicker, Garelick & Associates, LLP
Attorneys for Petitioner

45 Broadway

New York, New York 10006

(212) 319-5240